UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

Docket No. 11-0124

In re: Brian D. King,

Petitioner

Decision and Order

This matter is before the Administrative Law Judge upon the request of Petitioner

for a hearing to address the existence or amount of a debt alleged to be due, and if

established, the terms of any repayment prior to imposition of an administrative wage

garnishment. On February 8, 2011, a Prehearing Order was entered to facilitate a

meaningful conference with the parties as to how the case would be resolved, to direct the

exchange of information and documentation concerning the existence of the debt, and

setting the matter for a telephonic hearing on March 16, 2011.

The Respondent complied with that Order and a Narrative was filed, together with

supporting documentation on February 18, 2011. The hearing was held as scheduled and

the testimony of the Petitioner and Mary E. Kimball was received. At the conclusion of

the hearing on March 16, 2011, the Petitioner was given additional time to file

information concerning his financial condition. That documentation was received by the

Hearing Clerk on March 28, 2011.

On the basis of the entire record before me, the following Findings of Fact,

Conclusions of Law and Order will be entered.

Findings of Fact

- 1. On April 19, 1990, the Petitioner and Patrice King received a home mortgage loan in the amount of \$44,000.00 from Farmers Home Administration (FmHA), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), now Rural Development (RD) for property located in Athens, Alabama. RX-1& 2.
- 2. The property was sold at a foreclosure sale on April 26, 2001 with proceeds realized from that sale in the amount of \$38,000.00, leaving a balance due of \$31,539.82. RX-5.
- 3. No Treasury offsets have been received. RX-6.
- 4. The remaining unpaid debt is in the amount of \$31,539.82 exclusive of potential Treasury fees. RX-6.
- 5. Although the Petitioner is employed, he has not been employed for a continuous twelve month period.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. Petitioner is indebted to USDA Rural Development in the amount of \$31,539.82 exclusive of potential Treasury fees for the mortgage loan extended to him.
- 2. As the Petitioner has not been employed for a continuous twelve month period, garnishment of the wages of the Petitioner is not appropriate at this time.

Order

For the foregoing reasons, the wages of Petitioner may **NOT** be subjected to administrative wage garnishment. The indebtedness is still subject to Treasury action and garnishment proceedings may be re-commenced after an appropriate period of time.

Co	pies of this Decision and O	rder shall be served upon the parties by the Hearing
Clerk's Of	ffice.	
March 31,	2011	
		Peter M. Davenport
		Chief Administrative Law Judge
Copies to:	Brian D. King Mary Kimball Dale Theurer	

Hearing Clerk's Office
U.S. Department of Agriculture
1400 Independence Avenue SW
Room 1031, South Building
Washington, D.C. 20250-9203
202-720-4443
Fax: 202-720-9776