11:01

JAN-24-2011

TRADE PRACTICES DIVISION

202 690 1539

P.002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

Ir. re	: :)	PACA Docket No. D-10-0048		Ěc
	The Kinoko Company,)		1	
	Respondent)	Decision Without Hearing by Reason of Consent	្ន គ្ន ភ	

This is a disciplinary proceeding under the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930, as amended, (7 U.S.C. § 499a et seq.; hereinafter PACA), and the Regulations issued thereunder (7 C.F.R. Part 46; hereinafter Regulations). The proceeding was initiated by a Complaint filed against The Kinoko Company (hereinafter Respondent) on December 2, 2009, by the Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, pursuant to the Rules of Practice Governing Formal Adjudicatory Proceedings Instituted by the Secretary Under Various Statutes (7 C.F.R §1.130 et seq., hereinafter Rules of Practice).

The parties have now agreed to the entry of a Decision Without Hearing by Reason of Consent as set forth herein. Therefore, this Decision Without Hearing by Reason of Consent is entered without further procedure or hearing pursuant to the consent decision provisions of the Rules of Practice applicable to this proceeding (7 C.F.R. §1.138).

Findings of Fact

(i) Respondent was a California corporation whose business address was 4039 Calle
Platino, Suite E, Oceanside, California 92058, and whose mailing address was P.O.
Box 6548, Oceanside, California 92052.

11:02

JAN-24-2011

TRADE PRACTICES DIVISION

202 690 1539

P.003

- (2) At all times material to this Decision, Respondent was licensed under the provisions of the PACA. License number 20080752 was issued to Respondent on April 15, 2008. This license is currently active.
- (3) At all times material herein, Craig R. Anderson, an individual, was subject to employment restrictions under section 8(b) of the PACA (7 U.S.C. § 499h(b)). Craig R. Anderson's employment restrictions began on May 1, 2008.
- (4) By letter dated May 20, 2008, the PACA Branch of the Agricultural Marketing

 Service informed Respondent that pursuant to the PACA, unlawful employment of
 or affiliation with Craig R. Anderson could result in suspension or revocation of
 Respondent's PACA license.
- (5) An investigation conducted by the PACA Branch between August 26, 2008 and November 14, 2008 determined that Respondent was unlawfully affiliated with Craig R. Anderson, during the period in which he was subject to employment restrictions under section 8(b) of the PACA (7 U.S.C. § 499h(b)).

Conclusions

Respondent has unlawfully been affiliated with Craig R. Anderson during the period of his employment sanction, after being given notice by the PACA Branch that pursuant to the employment restrictions of section 8(b) of the PACA, Respondent was not permitted to affiliate with Craig R. Anderson without approval of the Secretary and the posting of a surety bond.

Order

Respondent willfully violated section 8(b) of the PACA (7 U.S.C. §499h(b)) when it unlawfully affiliated Craig R. Anderson, as described above.

Based on Respondent's violations, Respondent's PACA license is revoked. The details of this consent decision and order shall be published.

JAN-24-2011 11:02

TRADE PRACTICES DIVISION

202 690 1539

P.004

This order shall become effective upon issuance. Copies hereof shall be served upon

the parties.

Done at Washington, D.C.

Administrative Law Judge

for Victor / Rains 1
U.S. Chamilton Law Law

For Respondent:

Mary C. H. Mandell Attorney for Respondent For Complainant:

Robert C. Keeney Deputy Administrator Fruit and Vegetable Programs

Agricultural Marketing Service

Ciarra A. Toomey Attorney for Complainant