2022 USDA Explanatory Notes – EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

1cy-Wide2
urpose Statement
vailable Funds and FTEs
ermanent Positions by Grade and FTEs2
hared Funding Projects
ce of Hearings and Appeals: Salaries and Expenses
ppropriations Language4
ead-Off Tabular Statement
eographic Breakdown of Obligations and FTEs5
lassification by Objects6
tatus of Programs7

AGENCY-WIDE

PURPOSE STATEMENT

The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) consolidates the three previously separate entities of the National Appeals Division (NAD), the Office of the Administrative Law Judges (OALJ), and the Office of the Judicial Officer (OJO). Previously, OALJ and OJO were offices under Departmental Administration, and NAD was an independent agency that reports to the USDA Secretary.

The mission of OHA is to plan, coordinate, and provide administrative support for NAD, OALJ, and OJO. OHA promotes fairness, transparency, and consistency in NAD, OALJ, and OJO proceedings through the planning, coordination, and administration of office-wide activities and initiatives. OHA adjudicates first-and second-level hearings and appeals at USDA.

OHA Headquarters is located in Alexandria, Virginia. NAD, a division within OHA, administers its appeals system through three regional offices located in Memphis, Tennessee; Indianapolis, Indiana; and Lakewood, Colorado. The NAD Administrative Judges are located in 25 field locations throughout the United States and operate out of leased office space or home offices.

As of September 30, 2020, there were 69 permanent full-time employees, of which 19 were located in the headquarters office and 50 in field offices.

OHA did not have any Office of Inspector General or Government Accountability Office evaluation reports during the past year.

AVAILABLE FUNDS AND FTES

Table OHA-1. Available Funds and FTEs (thousands of dollars, FTEs)

Itam			2020		2021		2022	
Item	Actual	FTE	Actual	FTE	Enacted	FTE	Budget	FTE
Salaries and Expenses:								
Office of Hearings and Appeals	\$15,222	73	\$15,222	72	\$15,394	78	\$16,173	81
Lapsing Balances	-267	-	-606	-	-	-	-	
Total Available, OHA	14,955	73	14,616	72	15,394	78	16,173	81

PERMANENT POSITIONS BY GRADE AND FTES

Table OHA-2. Permanent Positions by Grade and FTEs

			2019			2020			2021			2022
Item			Actual			Actual			Enacted			Budget
	VA	Field	Total	VA	Field	Total	VA	Field	Total	VA	Field	Total
AD	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1
AL	-	3	3	-	3	3	-	3	3	-	3	3
SL	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1
GS-15	1	5	6	2	3	5	2	3	5	2	3	5
GS-14	6	28	34	6	25	31	8	29	37	9	33	42
GS-13	6	2	8	6	2	8	5	5	10	5	1	6
GS-12	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	1	3
GS-11	1	5	6	1	4	5	-	4	4	-	4	4
GS-9	1	4	5	1	5	6	1	5	6	1	5	6
GS-8	1	5	6	1	4	5	1	5	6	1	7	8
GS-7	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GS-6	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	2	2	-	2	2
Total Permanent	18	55	73	19	50	69	20	58	78	21	60	81
Total Perm. FT EOY	18	55	73	19	50	69	20	58	78	21	60	81
FTE	18	55	73	19	54	72	20	58	78	21	60	81

SHARED FUNDING PROJECTS

Table OHA-3. Shared Funding Projects (dollars in thousands)

	2019	2020	2021	2022
Item	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Budget
Working Capital Fund:				
Administrative Services:				
Material Management Service	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$1
Mail and Reproduction Services	72	85	79	38
Integrated Procurement Systems	11	11	12	13
Procurement Operations Services	16	17	20	18
Human Resources Enterprise Management Systems	1	2	1	1
Subtotal	104	122	118	71
Communications:				
Creative Media & Broadcast Center	26	1	15	15
Finance and Management:				
National Finance Center	180	260	345	331
Financial Management Systems	54	47	54	53
Subtotal	234	307	399	384
Information Technology:				
Client Experience Center	340	342	366	398
Department Administration Information Technology Office	-	149	153	322
Digital Infrastructure Services Center	43	59	22	24
Enterprise Network Services	6	34	27	27
Subtotal	389	584	568	771
Correspondence Management Services				
Office of the Executive Secretariat	9	8	7	7
Total, Working Capital Fund	762	1,022	1,107	1,248
Department-Wide Shared Cost Programs:				
Agency Partnership Outreach	7	6	5	-
Human Resources Self-Service Dashboard	-	-	-	-
Medical Services	1	-	2	2
Office of Customer Experience	2	4	7	7
Personnel and Document Security Program	4	4	5	-
Physical Security	-	4	3	-
Security Detail	4	4	3	3
Security Operations Program	9	4	5	-
TARGET Center	1	1	1	-
USDA Enterprise Data Analytics Services	-	6	4	-
Total, Department-Wide Reimbursable Programs	28	33	35	12
E-Gov:				
Enterprise Human Resources Integration	2	-	-	-
Geospatial Line of Business	13	13	13	13
Integrated Acquisition Environment	_	1	_	
Total, E-Gov	15	14	13	13
Agency Total	805	1,069	1,155	1,273

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS: SALARIES AND EXPENSES

APPROPRIATIONS LANGUAGE

The appropriations language follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

For necessary expenses of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, [\$15,394,000] \$16,173,000.

LEAD-OFF TABULAR STATEMENT

Table OHA-4. Lead-Off Tabular Statement

Item	Amount
2021 Enacted	\$15,394,000
Change in Appropriation	+ 779,000
Budget Estimate, 2022	16,173,000

PROJECT STATEMENT

Table OHA-5. Project Statement (thousands of dollars, FTEs)

Item			2020		2021		Inc. or		Chg	2022	
		FTE	Actual	FTE	Enacted	FTE	Dec.	FTE	Key	Budget	FTE
Discretionary Appropriations:											
Office of Hearings and Appeals	\$15,222	73	\$15,222	72	\$15,394	78	+\$779	+3	(1)	\$16,173	81
Lapsing Balances	-267	-	-606	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Total Obligations	14,955	73	14,616	72	15,394	78	+779	+3		16,173	81

Table OHA-6. Project Statement (thousands of dollars, FTEs)

Item	2019 Actual	FTE	2020 Actual	FTE	2021 Enacted	FTE	Inc. or Dec.	FTE	2022 Budget	FTE
Discretionary Obligations:										
Office of Hearings and Appeals	\$14,955 267	73	\$14,616 606	72	\$15,394	78	+\$779	+3	\$16,173 -	81
Total Appropriation	15,222	73	15,222	72	15,394	78	779	3	16,173	81

JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

The base funds will continue to support the mission of OHA to promote fairness, transparency, and consistency in NAD, OALJ, and OJO proceedings through the planning, coordination, and administration of office-wide activities and initiatives.

- 1) A net increase of \$779,000 and 3 additional FTEs for the OHA (\$15,394,000 and 78 FTEs available in 2021).
 - The funding change is requested for the following items:
 - a. An increase of \$308,000, which includes \$210,000 for pay inflation and \$98,000 for FERS.

 This increase will support a 2.7 percent Cost of Living pay increases for civilian employees, and a 1.1 percent increase to cover the expenses for the mandated increase of USDA's contribution to FERS.
 - b. An increase of \$471,000 and 3 FTEs.

The increase is needed to fill mission critical positions to ensure that OHA can carry out its full range of responsibilities and agency goals. The funds will continue to support the mission of OHA to promote fairness, transparency, and consistency of USDA hearings and appeals services; coordinate administrative and legal activities for the National Appeals Division, the Office of the Administrative Law Judges, and the Office of the Judicial Officer, provide leadership, direction, coordination and assistance in the areas of administrative and legal support for Office-wide mission and operational activities; and coordinate preparation of all administrative support, strategic plans, and reports for Office-wide activities and initiatives. There are strict statutory deadlines for holding hearings and issuing appeal and review determinations. These mission area activities are performed by Administrative Judges and Appeals Officers, who are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and supported by three regional offices and a headquarters office. Similarly, OALJ conducts rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings throughout the country in proceedings that are subject to the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq., under approximately 50 statutes administered by USDA agencies. The Judicial

Officer is delegated authority to act as the final deciding officer for the United States Department of Agriculture in adjudicatory proceedings listed in 7 C.F.R. § 2.35. This level of funding ensures the timeliness and quality of services that is expected by the public.

GEOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN OF OBLIGATIONS AND FTES

Table OHA-7. Geographic Breakdown of Obligations and FTEs (thousands of dollars, FTEs)

State/Transition/Communication	2019		2020		2021		2022	
State/Territory/Country	Actual	FTE	Actual	FTE	Enacted	FTE	Budget	FTE
Alabama	\$147	1	\$155	1	\$156	1	\$157	1
Arkansas	139	1	155	1	156	1	157	1
California	304	2	350	2	353	2	357	2
Colorado	1,055	8	1,326	9	1,509	10	1,650	10
District of Columbia	1,343	9	1,760	10	1,860	12	1,878	12
Florida	163	1	164	1	165	1	167	1
Georgia	149	1	-	-	143	1	145	1
Idaho	172	1	173	1	175	1	177	1
Indiana	990	8	1,170	8	1,163	8	1,250	8
Maryland	173	1	174	1	175	1	177	1
Minnesota	144	1	160	1	162	1	164	1
Mississippi	148	1	164	1	165	1	167	1
Missouri	165	1	166	1	301	2	304	2
Nebraska	147	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
New York	153	1	166	1	168	1	170	1
North Carolina	318	2	331	2	335	2	337	2
Ohio	167	1	169	1	171	1	173	1
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	160	1	162	1
Tennessee	1,205	9	1,091	7	1,177	7	1,478	9
Texas	320	2	327	2	336	2	339	2
Virginia	7,251	19	6,279	20	6,394	21	6,592	22
Washington	145	1	168	1	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	157	1	168	1	170	1	172	1
Obligations	14,955	73	14,616	72	15,394	78	16,173	81
Lapsing Balances	267	-	606	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Total, Available	15,222	73	15,222	72	15,394	78	16,173	81

CLASSIFICATION BY OBJECTS

Table OHA-8 Classification by Objects (thousands of dollars)

Item	T		2020	2021	
No.	Item	2019 Actual	Actual	Enacted	2022 Budget
	Personnel Compensation:				
	Washington D.C	\$2,290	\$2,265	\$2,585	\$3,050
	Personnel Compensation, Field	5,888	6,101	6,633	6,756
11	Total personnel compensation	8,178	8,366	9,218	9,806
12	Personal benefits	2,459	2,888	2,958	3,091
13.0	Benefits for former personnel		2	60	60
	Total, personnel comp. and benefits	10,637	11,256	12,236	12,957
	Other Objects:				
21.0	Travel and transportation of persons	260	138	118	120
22.0	Transportation of things	24	24	25	40
23.1	Rental payments to GSA	530	536	536	536
23.3	Communications, utilities, and misc. charges	395	382	419	420
24.0	Printing and reproduction	77	56	58	58
25.1	Advisory and assistance services	1,568	961	885	904
25.2	Other services from non-Federal sources	639	83	150	100
25.3	Other goods and services from Federal sources	708	885	732	804
25.7	Operation and maintenance of equipment	109	152	141	140
33.0	Investments and loans	=	6	_	-
	Total, Other Objects	4,318	3,360	3,158	3,216
99.9	Total, new obligations		14,616	15,394	16,173
	DHS Building Security Payments (included in 25.3)	\$49	\$52	\$74	\$74
	Information Technology Investments:				
	Name of Major Investment	SO-OH	A-NADTrack		
11	Internal Labor		\$100	\$100	\$100
25.2	Outside Services (Consulting)		423	431	434
	Mission Area Non-Major Investment Totals		523	531	534
25.3	Mission Area WCF Transfers		683	683	683
	Position Data:				
	Average Salary (dollars), ES Position	\$178,275	\$180,200	\$178,348	\$178,348
	Average Salary (dollars), GS Position	\$104,272	\$113,625	\$114,059	\$117,135
	Average Grade, GS Position	13.3	13.4	13.4	13.5

STATUS OF PROGRAMS

The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) was established in 2017 to consolidate three previously separate entities: The National Appeals Division (NAD), the Office of the Administrative Law Judges (OALJ), and the Office of the Judicial Officer (OJO).

Previously, OALJ and OJO were offices under Departmental Administration, and NAD was an independent office that reported to the Secretary of Agriculture. The reorganization consolidated administrative support for the three USDA entities that adjudicate first and second-level hearings and appeals at USDA. After consolidation, NAD, OALJ, and OJO continues to conduct proceedings under their respective legal authorities.

The mission of OHA is to plan, coordinate, and provide administrative support for NAD, OALJ, and OJO. OHA promotes fairness, transparency, and consistency in NAD, OALJ, and OJO proceedings through the planning, coordination, and administration of office-wide activities and initiatives.

Current Activities

NAD conducts fair and impartial administrative appeal hearings and reviews of adverse decisions by certain agencies within USDA, and issues determinations in an expeditious manner that reflect a thorough consideration of factual information that reach a proper conclusion. Adjudicative activities include:

- Appealability Determinations: The Director reviews hundreds of agency decisions when an agency notifies a participant that it does not believe an administrative decision is appealable. Pre-Hearing Conferences: NAD conducts pre-hearing conferences to identify and/or narrow the issues in the appeal and help parties understand the evidence they need to present at the hearing.
- Hearings: In the first-level appeals process, NAD Administrative Judges conduct impartial administrative hearings and issue determinations, which include decisions about attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act that reflect a thorough consideration of factual and legal information.
- Reviews: On petition, in a second-level appeal, the NAD Director reviews Administrative Judge Appeal Determinations, renders second –level decisions about attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act, and may grant equitable relief to the same extent as such authority is provided to the Secretary.

OALJ conducts rulemaking and adjudicatory hearings throughout the country in proceedings that are subject to the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 551 *et seq.* under approximately 50 statutes administered by USDA agencies. Adjudicative activities include:

• Initial Decisions:

Judges issue initial decisions and orders in adjudicatory proceedings, which become final decisions of the Secret ary unless appealed to the Secretary's Judicial Officer by a party.

Consent Decisions:

Judges approve consent decisions that the parties enter into, which resolve disputes by settlement.

• Debarments and Suspensions:

Judges decide appeals of debarments and suspensions as required by regulations implementing a government-wide system for non-procurement debarments and suspensions (7 C.F.R. § 3017.100-.515 (1993)).

• Publication of Agricultural Decisions:

OALJ publishes Agriculture Decisions, the official compilation of quasi-

judicial and judicial decisions issued concerning regulatory laws administered by the Department.

OJO is delegated authority to act as the final deciding officer for the United States Department of Agriculture in adjudicatory proceedings listed in 7 C.F.R. § 2.35. Adjudicatory activities include:

- Reparation Decisions: The Judicial Officer issues reparation decisions under the Packers and Stockyards Act (P&S Act) and the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act (PACA).
- Review of ALJ Decisions: On petition for review, the Judicial Officer reviews initial adjudicatory decisions issued by USDA's Administrative Law Judges. This second level review may include Administrative Law Judge decisions about attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act.
- Review of Commissioner Decisions: On petition for review, the Judicial Officer, with advice from the Plant Variety Protection Board, reviews decisions issued by the Commissioner of the Plant Variety Protection Office.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress

In FY 2020, NAD:

- Processed 1,284 cases filed with NAD;
- Issued 91 determinations when appellants challenged the agency view that a decision was not appealable. Outcome was favorable to appellants in 87 percent of the cases;
 - Conducted 593 in-person or telephonic hearings, and reviews of the record;
 - Issued 1,192 determinations, of which 924 were issued by Administrative Judges and 268 were issued by the NAD Director. Outcome was favorable to appellants in 38 percent of the cases. In 129 Review Determinations, appellants were granted equitable relief;

- Increased transparency of the USDA appeals process by publishing more than 952 determinations on the NAD website in FY 2020; over 23,200 have been published on the NAD web site (http://www.nad.usda.gov/public_search.html) since the program began; and
- Accepted over 1,200 eFile requests, which have been processed since NAD introduced the option to electronically file requests in February 2013.

In FY 2020, OALJ:

- Opened 108 new cases;
- Disposed of 134 cases arising under USDA programs in the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, the Food Crop Insurance Corporation, the Food Safety and Inspection Service, the Food and Nutrition Service, and the Agricultural Marketing Service;
- Published Volume 78, Book 1 and 2 of *Agriculture Decisions* on the OALJ website (https://oalj.oha.usda.gov/agriculture-decisions-publication); and
- Updated the OALJ website with OALJ's decisions through October 2020 (https://oalj.oha.usda.gov/current).
- With OHA, developed methodology and funding for converting published orders and website materials for OALJ, OJO, and HCO to compliance with ADA Section 508.
- Researched and developed approaches to providing for wholly virtual hearings to be conducted through Microsoft Teams or similar software. Worked with USDA Contracting Officer to establish contract provisions under which hearing reporter companies might provide virtual hearing on-line platforms and hosting.
- Conducted a virtual rulemaking hearing via Zoom on behalf of the Agricultural Marketing Service involving the marketing of California walnuts.

In FY Year 2020, OJO:

- Issued 333 Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act (PACA) Reparation Orders, at an average of over 27 orders per month, with a total value of over \$12.1 million.
- Updated OJO's website to include FY 18-20 published decisions. https://oalj.oha.usda.gov/ojo-decisions https://www.dm.usda.gov/ojo/index.htm
- Created a detailed case summary report of all current cases before the Judicial Officer (JO), pending cases, and recently concluded cases with live appeal deadlines. This case report also tracks appeals of JO decisions to federal court.
- Has five cases, including 2 from previous years, currently before various Circuits of the United States Courts of Appeal, or have been decided by the Courts.
- Decided 6 OALJ cases that were appealed to the Judicial Officer. Issued precedent setting decisions involving significant regulatory enforcement policies and precedents in a number of key program areas such as "Responsibly Connected" proceedings under PACA; due process and service issues under PACA and the Packers and Stockyards Act; the issue of *de minimis* obligations under PACA; default determinations; adjudication of "Willfulness" and determinations regarding the appropriateness of sanctions in a high visibility Animal Welfare Act proceeding.